


RE14 Feedback Survey (the survey looks large but it is not, please take 5min and fill in the 10 questions).

### Q1 Did you attend RE14 as an academic/researcher or as a industry professional?

Answered: 125 Skipped: 7




Answer Choices	Responses
Academic/researcher	53.60% 67
Student	19.20% 24
Industry professional	27.20% 34
<b>Total</b>	<b>125</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Student Volunteer	9/10/2014 2:13 PM
2	XX	9/10/2014 1:56 PM
3	PhD student	9/10/2014 9:01 AM

## Q2 How do you judge the overall event?

Answered: 132 Skipped: 0



	Very bad	Bad	OK/worth the visit	Good	Exceptionally good	Total	Average Rating
Organization of the conference	0.00% 0	0.76% 1	1.53% 2	32.82% 43	64.89% 85	131	4.62
Social activities (dinner, reception, lunches etc)	0.77% 1	0.00% 0	8.46% 11	36.92% 48	53.85% 70	130	4.43
Student volunteers	0.00% 0	1.56% 2	10.16% 13	44.53% 57	43.75% 56	128	4.30
BTH as Facilities/Venue	0.00% 0	0.76% 1	10.61% 14	37.88% 50	50.76% 67	132	4.39
Karlskrona as Venue	0.00% 0	6.11% 8	22.90% 30	32.06% 42	38.93% 51	131	4.04

RE14 Feedback Survey (the survey looks large but it is not, please take 5min and fill in the 10 questions).

### Q3 If you would like to qualify any of your answers/rankings above please do so here.

Answered: 33 Skipped: 99


#	Responses	Date
1	The conference was very well organized. Everything was perfect. The only comment I have is the web site for registration. Since I was a first-time participant, I didn't know the differences among workshop, conference, tutorial etc. So I didn't really know which ones I should go. I would therefore like to have some explanations about what the different sessions are.	9/26/2014 9:06 AM
2	There were a lot of problems for me with coordination of housing and registration.	9/12/2014 5:06 PM
3	The participation in different workshops is difficult to organize.	9/11/2014 10:49 PM
4	First time at the conference and really impressed with the conference. Was well fed/watered and organisation was excellent.	9/11/2014 6:20 PM
5	For a Congress this size Karlskrona is kind of remote.	9/11/2014 12:07 PM
6	Karlskrona is a nice city, but there is not really much to do + bad connection.	9/11/2014 10:48 AM
7	It was great to see such a large number of attendees from the industry. I hope RE14 sets a precedence that will be followed in future years too.	9/11/2014 9:18 AM
8	Partook in only some of social activities. Lunches and catering overall was excellent, welcome reception very nice.	9/11/2014 7:09 AM
9	Karlskrona hard to get to...	9/11/2014 6:40 AM
10	It was a bit difficult to travel there, but the conference was excellent.	9/10/2014 11:44 PM
11	Karlskrona is a pretty spot but the travel to get there was inconvenient.	9/10/2014 10:07 PM
12	Fabulous, really. I cannot remember a conf that was as professional and smooth AND that nice, all till the ice cream :) Thank you all!	9/10/2014 9:26 PM
13	Karlskrona was a nice city to visit ... in one day. But the location of such conference should be closer to major airports / railway hub stations.	9/10/2014 5:05 PM
14	The Hostel Stay for the students volunteers was not the best. Student Volunteers come from different countries in the world and different cultures, so having them share one Uni sex public bathroom was a bad arrangement. Since the Hostel has many buildings, it could be arranged that females stay in one building and the males in the other to make it more convenient for those from areas of the world where the restrooms are separated. Another terrible arrangement was the WIFI at the hostel. It was terrible and student's couldn't get a good signal neither in their rooms nor in the public lounge. Many of those students had assignments and videpo conferences with their research groups back in their universities and they couldn't work from the hostel. This limitation forced some students to work at the conference venue (BTH) which caused them to miss some sessions/activities.	9/10/2014 3:49 PM
15	Everything was great!	9/10/2014 3:08 PM
16	Regarding the Conference dinner: too many people in the same room for champagnn; food too late to "starving" people; speakers too loud.	9/10/2014 2:09 PM
17	The Organization of the conf get extra marks on good mix of participants, also see #8	9/10/2014 1:54 PM
18	Some of the students volunteers could not tell me where room were. BTH facilities were ok although rooms sometimes were too small. Karlskrona is a bad venue because it is very difficult to reach.	9/10/2014 10:47 AM
19	It was as good/interesting as they could make it. The city is not very interesting, did not have very interesting places for receptions/dinners.	9/10/2014 10:31 AM
20	"Organization of the conference" is most important	9/10/2014 10:13 AM
21	could not rate the social activities since was there only Monday for a workshop and had to leave for another conference	9/10/2014 9:31 AM

RE14 Feedback Survey (the survey looks large but it is not, please take 5min and fill in the 10 questions).

22	A lot of information was communicated last minute. I had to return to the registration 3 times to obtain my extra reception ticket which I order together with my registration. Karlskrona was death, we spent half a day in the "city" and saw everything. On the recommendation of the tourist office we also went to an island where there was literally nothing and we had to wait 4 hour and 30 minutes for the next boat.	9/10/2014 9:15 AM
23	Karlskrona is hard to reach (flight Copenhagen + train)	9/10/2014 9:14 AM
24	It's a pity that workshop attendance was not included in the main conference registration, which was actually the case on most conferences I've been yet. Due to this I ranked it good, but not exceptionally good.	9/10/2014 9:09 AM
25	I only attended a workshop, the conference reception and the industrial sessions on Thursday, but everything I attended was worth-while and the student volunteers seemed to be doing a great job. Additionally, the local press, after a bit of warming up, did a good job of reporting about the conference (which is not always the case when it comes to reporting about research related activities in Blekinge).	9/10/2014 9:09 AM
26	Just we stayed for the first day of workshops, so can't comments much on the above.	9/10/2014 8:54 AM
27	It was very innovative to have a buffet in s historic site	9/10/2014 8:42 AM
28	You really made a big effort, to make welcome us.	9/10/2014 8:37 AM
29	Rooms at BTH were a tad too small for some sessions.	9/10/2014 8:30 AM
30	excelent organization! very gald	9/10/2014 8:26 AM
31	Nicest surprise of the year!	9/9/2014 10:43 PM
32	Not that easy to reach Karlskrona.	9/9/2014 9:04 PM
33	Best RE ever! Actually best conference ever, and I have attended over 50.	9/9/2014 8:03 PM

### Q4 Would you consider visiting Blekinge/Karlskrona or the area again as a tourist or equivalent? Please give us some thoughts.

Answered: 128 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses
No, not again	11.72% 15
Maybe, but not likely	37.50% 48
Sure, would be nice	40.63% 52
Absolutely, planning to	10.16% 13
<b>Total</b>	<b>128</b>


#	Give us your thoughts	Date
1	Still many other places worth to visit for the first time.	9/18/2014 2:32 PM
2	The people were very polite and Karlskrona felt very safe	9/12/2014 5:06 PM
3	beautiful landscape, interesting maritime history	9/11/2014 10:49 PM
4	It was a bit small and not much going on. Really pretty though.	9/11/2014 6:20 PM
5	Not now, but in mid term future when my children are old enough to enjoy then trip	9/11/2014 9:52 AM
6	Did not have time to play tourist as much as would have liked.	9/11/2014 7:09 AM
7	pretty boring and very quiet town, University was ok	9/11/2014 6:40 AM
8	So many places to visit in the world. Hard to go back to the same one twice.	9/10/2014 11:44 PM
9	See above on the travel.	9/10/2014 10:07 PM
10	Karlskrona is great. I doubt I'll ever make it back, but it was nice to have the chance to be there.	9/10/2014 6:52 PM
11	Not applicable for me since I live here, but I tried to act as much ambassadeur as possible :)	9/10/2014 5:16 PM
12	As stated above: Karlskrona seems a nice place to visit (at least in Summer), but not easily accessible	9/10/2014 5:05 PM

RE14 Feedback Survey (the survey looks large but it is not, please take 5min and fill in the 10 questions).


13	It was my first time out of the country, so on subsequent trips I'd probably visit many other areas of Sweden/Europe before heading back to Karlskrona.	9/10/2014 3:08 PM
14	BTH is one of the top universities to research. Absolutely is an option to study there.	9/10/2014 2:13 PM
15	Live here	9/10/2014 1:56 PM
16	liked the Marine museum and so would the rest of the family	9/10/2014 1:54 PM
17	There is not much to see/do in Karlskrona	9/10/2014 10:47 AM
18	Nice, safe, but pretty boring	9/10/2014 10:31 AM
19	too small	9/10/2014 10:17 AM
20	There are so many other places in the world	9/10/2014 9:43 AM
21	Maybe north Sweden one day ?	9/10/2014 9:14 AM
22	Admittedly, I live here, but if I ever move somewhere else, I will absolutely visit Blekinge again.	9/10/2014 9:09 AM
23	If Oi have my way I would be booking the meusum for my wedding	9/10/2014 8:42 AM
24	I love the small islands and could imagine to rent a summerhouse there.	9/10/2014 8:37 AM
25	Nice to go there once however.	9/9/2014 10:43 PM
26	Beautiful and nice place.	9/9/2014 8:03 PM

### Q5 For each activity/part you attended at RE14 please rank the activity

Answered: 129 Skipped: 3



RE14 Feedback Survey (the survey looks large but it is not, please take 5min and fill in the 10 questions).



	Very bad	Bad	OK/worth the visit	Good	Exceptionally good	Total	Average Rating
OVERALL for the Entire RE14 Conference	0.00% 0	0.89% 1	7.14% 8	50.00% 56	41.96% 47	112	4.33
EmpiRE - 4th Workshop on Empirical Requirements Engineering	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	12.50% 2	50.00% 8	37.50% 6	16	4.25
ESPRE - 1st Workshop on Evolving Security and Privacy Requirements Engineering	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	23.08% 3	76.92% 10	13	4.77
MoDRE - 4th International Model-Driven Requirements Engineering Workshop	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7.14% 1	42.86% 6	50.00% 7	14	4.43
UsARE - 2nd International Workshop on Usability and Accessibility focused Requirements Engineering	0.00% 0	8.33% 1	16.67% 2	25.00% 3	50.00% 6	12	4.17
REET - Workshop on Requirements Engineering Education & Training	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	36.36% 4	36.36% 4	27.27% 3	11	3.91
REBPM - 1st International Workshop on the Interrelations between Requirements Engineering & Business Process Management	0.00% 0	22.22% 2	22.22% 2	11.11% 1	44.44% 4	9	3.78
AIRE - 1st International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence for Requirements Engineering	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	21.43% 3	57.14% 8	21.43% 3	14	4.00
IWSPM - 8th International Workshop on Software Product Management	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22.22% 2	33.33% 3	44.44% 4	9	4.22
RePa - 4th International Workshop on Requirements Patterns	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	15.38% 2	53.85% 7	30.77% 4	13	4.15
RE4SuSy - 3rd International Workshop on Requirements Engineering for Sustainable Systems	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	10.00% 1	20.00% 2	70.00% 7	10	4.60
RET - 1st International Workshop on Requirements Engineering and Testing	0.00% 0	6.25% 1	12.50% 2	37.50% 6	43.75% 7	16	4.19
RePriCo - 5th International Workshop on Requirements Prioritization and Communication	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	16.67% 1	50.00% 3	33.33% 2	6	4.17
RELAW - 7th International Workshop on Requirements Engineering and Law	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 2	42.86% 6	42.86% 6	14	4.29



RE14 Feedback Survey (the survey looks large but it is not, please take 5min and fill in the 10 questions).

TUTORIAL Requirements on a shoestring: how to cope in industry projects with poor requirements engineering awareness [A303]	<b>12.50%</b> 1	<b>12.50%</b> 1	<b>25.00%</b> 2	<b>25.00%</b> 2	<b>25.00%</b> 2	8	3.38
TUTORIAL Modeling and analysis with the User Requirements Notation 2.0: features, goals, and scenarios	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>14.29%</b> 1	<b>28.57%</b> 2	<b>57.14%</b> 4	7	4.43
TUTORIAL Requirements driven innovation	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>16.67%</b> 1	<b>66.67%</b> 4	<b>16.67%</b> 1	6	4.00
TUTORIAL Case studies in requirements engineering	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>20.00%</b> 1	<b>60.00%</b> 3	<b>20.00%</b> 1	5	4.00
TUTORIAL Unlocking creative collaboration in RE and product management: theory and practice through improvisation	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>12.50%</b> 1	<b>50.00%</b> 4	<b>37.50%</b> 3	8	4.25
TUTORIAL Product management essentials	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>14.29%</b> 1	<b>42.86%</b> 3	<b>42.86%</b> 3	7	4.29
TUTORIAL Driving Architectural Design in Agile Projects: A Persona-Centric Approach	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>16.67%</b> 1	<b>33.33%</b> 2	<b>50.00%</b> 3	6	4.33
TUTORIAL Model driven requirements engineering	<b>9.09%</b> 1	<b>18.18%</b> 2	<b>18.18%</b> 2	<b>36.36%</b> 4	<b>18.18%</b> 2	11	3.36
TUTORIAL Eliciting unstated requirements	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>14.29%</b> 1	<b>57.14%</b> 4	<b>28.57%</b> 2	7	4.14
TUTORIAL Writing good requirements	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>7.69%</b> 1	<b>15.38%</b> 2	<b>46.15%</b> 6	<b>30.77%</b> 4	13	4.00
TUTORIAL Developing modelling toolkits for requirements engineering	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>37.50%</b> 3	<b>25.00%</b> 2	<b>37.50%</b> 3	8	4.00
TUTORIAL Product & user driven requirement specifications derived from your business model	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>33.33%</b> 2	<b>50.00%</b> 3	<b>16.67%</b> 1	6	3.83
Doctoral Symposium	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>18.18%</b> 2	<b>27.27%</b> 3	<b>18.18%</b> 2	<b>36.36%</b> 4	11	3.73

**Q6 Please explain your answers to your ranking above if you feel that you would like to provide additional feedback (we will use it to learn!). If your comments are regarding any particular part please write the name of the workshop and/or tutorial**

Answered: 18 Skipped: 114


#	Responses	Date
1	I missed the connection between research and industry or how the researches could be applied to industry. It felt like they're mostly academic workshops, not for industry.	9/26/2014 9:06 AM
2	The tutors were very well prepared and professionals with long experience. One could really learn a lot of valuable things from them.	9/22/2014 4:37 PM
3	Conference and workshops have been good. Interesting topics, interesting people.	9/11/2014 10:49 PM
4	Regarding the workshop on Sec & Privacy requirements (ESPRES), I understand it is key to have a workshop devoted to these requirement categories in a RE conference.	9/11/2014 4:22 PM
5	The practice-oriented approach for is very good	9/11/2014 9:52 AM
6	- Tutorial on User Requirement Notation was very interesting, with tool demonstration - Tutorial on Model driven requirement engineering was too oriented on mathematical aspect of model transformation. I would have preferred a focus on the principles of requirements modelling itself, with a presentation of various models and their possible use.	9/10/2014 5:05 PM
7	Tutorial: Model Driven Reqs Engineering - The real content and the realization did not match the promising description of the tutorial. The content was rather a sort of a workshop based presentation of extracts from chosen current research done in the area. This could hardly be called a tutorial. Half of the audience did not show up after the lunch brake, and most of the rest who left did not pay attention to the presentation.	9/10/2014 2:06 PM
8	I only attended 2 tutorials, not the conference. A303 was not very good... The speaker's idea on how to cope with poor industry awareness was to fool people into doing RE without knowing it. I need REAL tools to increase awareness so this was useless. Furthermore, it was mainly focused on agile while I am from a systems engineering view point so it didn't fit very well. He also ended abruptly one hour before the finish time instead of using the extra hour for discussion.	9/10/2014 1:33 PM
9	For AIRE I would have liked if the organization of the workshop (preparing of the participation like one minute slides as well as discussants) would have been earlier. Otherwise it was an exceptional workshop as well:-)	9/10/2014 12:06 PM
10	Conference was good, but not exceptionally good because quality of papers was not very high. Regarding the doctoral symposium I did not like that students were split in 2 rooms. Each of them got half of the feedback they could get if they were together in one room.	9/10/2014 10:47 AM
11	REET was interesting in terms of learning and networking. It would be nice to have more participants in the future perhaps by advertising more, e.g. linkedin groups etc. BTW i couldn't find any linkedin event for RE as well. This would enhance the opportunities for networking and staying in touch. One important shortcoming is the absence of information on workshop papers in the conference main site.	9/10/2014 9:31 AM
12	I only attended UsaRE14 and it was a worthwhile workshop with some good discussions and opportunities for networking.	9/10/2014 9:09 AM
13	Although the organisers made a huge effort, for example in bringing industrial presences, I think those conferences are boring in their format. The paper sessions are mostly useless and a waste of brain power compared to the environmental and societal costs of running such an event.	9/10/2014 8:56 AM
14	Just we stayed for the first day of workshops, so can't comments much on the above.	9/10/2014 8:54 AM
15	There seem to have been great effort put to scutinize materials	9/10/2014 8:42 AM
16	Because of the ranking workshops become of less interest for researchers. Additionally,they were quite expensive compared to their value.	9/10/2014 8:37 AM
17	did not go to workshops/tutorial dinners	9/10/2014 8:26 AM

RE14 Feedback Survey (the survey looks large but it is not, please take 5min and fill in the 10 questions).

18	The MoDRE Tutorial was not very structured. However, the main problem was that it was neither aimed at beginners in MDE (too advanced), nor at people familiar with MDE (way too basic).	9/10/2014 8:24 AM
----	--	-------------------

**Q7 If you participated in the main conference, how would you overall rank the different activities/tracks (answer the ones you attended only)? (see [http://webhotel.bth.se/re14/pages/conference/conference\\_program](http://webhotel.bth.se/re14/pages/conference/conference_program))**

Answered: 104 Skipped: 28



	Very bad	Bad	OK/worth the visit	Good	Exceptionally good	Total	Average Rating
KEYNOTE "Startups and Requirements" WED	0.00% 0	10.53% 10	31.58% 30	38.95% 37	18.95% 18	95	3.66
Research Tracks Day 1 WED	0.00% 0	1.47% 1	19.12% 13	63.24% 43	16.18% 11	68	3.94
Industry Tracks Day 1 WED	0.00% 0	5.00% 3	11.67% 7	58.33% 35	25.00% 15	60	4.03
KEYNOTE "Now More Than Ever: Privacy and Security Are Required" THURS	1.18% 1	3.53% 3	25.88% 22	43.53% 37	25.88% 22	85	3.89
Research Tracks Day 2 THURS	0.00% 0	2.82% 2	21.13% 15	66.20% 47	9.86% 7	71	3.83
Industry Tracks Day 2 THURS	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.37% 11	57.41% 31	22.22% 12	54	4.02


RE14 Feedback Survey (the survey looks large but it is not, please take 5min and fill in the 10 questions).

KEYNOTE "Rethinking Software: Business Change and the Consequences for Software Engineering" FRI	<b>6.41%</b> 5	<b>10.26%</b> 8	<b>25.64%</b> 20	<b>34.62%</b> 27	<b>23.08%</b> 18	78	3.58
OVERALL Industry LAB Invited talks	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>2.94%</b> 1	<b>23.53%</b> 8	<b>52.94%</b> 18	<b>20.59%</b> 7	34	3.91
PANELS Overall	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>4.76%</b> 2	<b>28.57%</b> 12	<b>57.14%</b> 24	<b>9.52%</b> 4	42	3.71
SPEED DATING	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>12.50%</b> 3	<b>25.00%</b> 6	<b>33.33%</b> 8	<b>29.17%</b> 7	24	3.79

RE14 Feedback Survey (the survey looks large but it is not, please take 5min and fill in the 10 questions).

### Q8 RE14 had a significant industry presence (1/3). Did this influence your conference experience/benefit?

Answered: 114 Skipped: 18



	Insignificant	Not bad	Ok	Good	Excellent	Total	Average Rating
The discussions between researchers/students and industry practitioners was	1.83% 2	2.75% 3	20.18% 22	58.72% 64	16.51% 18	109	3.85
The mix of researchers and practitioners was	0.00% 0	6.19% 7	14.16% 16	49.56% 56	30.09% 34	113	4.04
The amount of students/junior researchers was	0.96% 1	3.85% 4	18.27% 19	54.81% 57	22.12% 23	104	3.93


## Q9 Give us your thoughts in relation to your answers to Q8.

Answered: 22 Skipped: 110

#	Responses	Date
1	I appreciated seeing the practical application of RE research to case studies and innovative solutions that industry is coming up with. There were some great insights as to what's actually happening.	9/12/2014 5:06 PM
2	Interesting input from junior researchers, good discussion in industry tracks, strong relationship between "science" and industry.	9/11/2014 10:49 PM
3	More industry people needed	9/11/2014 6:20 PM
4	The unconstrained atmosphere of the "industry showroom" gave a very pleasant atmosphere to talk and discuss with people from industry and gave me as a researcher to "select" the companies of my interest.	9/11/2014 3:54 PM
5	The age mix was much better than in some other IEEE conferences. Promises well for continuity. Some research papers felt rather far from real world, more applicable to general natural language processing or related topics than RE in specific. Active participation of sponsors was nice!	9/11/2014 7:09 AM
6	I was sincerely impressed with the industry representation. I've been to several RE conferences now, and this was definitely the best industry representation I've seen.	9/10/2014 11:44 PM
7	I was pleased to see the industry participation and loved the students.	9/10/2014 10:07 PM
8	Would have liked to participate in the speed dating (great idea! ) but didn't manage time wise.	9/10/2014 9:26 PM
9	In my opinion, the ideal target should be 50% researchers/students and 50% industry. Moreover, many "Industry tracks" were actually papers from researchers/students (working in industry internship), but not from industry actors themselves. As an industry professional, I am not only interested in research works, but also in other industry experimentations and implementations.	9/10/2014 5:05 PM
10	I, as an industry practitioner was able to make other industry contacts as well as both provide helpful feedback to students and learn new techniques from students. It was very beneficial all around.	9/10/2014 3:08 PM
11	I was very happy by having the feedback of so many practitioners. Even though some academic researchers are skeptical about my proposal, industrial practitioners gave me very positive feedback, sometimes very enthusiastic ones, motivating me to keep in my way.	9/10/2014 2:13 PM
12	Please keep up the good work, you're on the right track here	9/10/2014 1:54 PM
13	I did not have the chance to speak to any practitioner.	9/10/2014 10:47 AM
14	They were there, but I didn't have much opportunity to speak with them. Too busy. Speed dating was helpful. Maybe something before the conference, brief bios in either direction to do some more intelligent matching.	9/10/2014 10:31 AM
15	Have not seen any influence on the conference tracks	9/10/2014 10:30 AM
16	they did not have much of RE background	9/10/2014 10:17 AM
17	To be fair, I did not attend enough of the conference to make any sort of qualified judgement about the over-all mix and the relative number of students/junior researchers. The industrial sessions I did attend were obviously popular - judging by the over-filled lecture halls - and ended with good questions and discussions.	9/10/2014 9:09 AM
18	Need more structured support to have the right people meet and talk. Nowadays, it's just random, and most of us remain with our acquaintances during breaks and social events.	9/10/2014 8:56 AM
19	Had little time to do this. Maybe different colours of badges if industry, student or researchers?	9/10/2014 8:50 AM
20	The conference presented a great networking opportunity	9/10/2014 8:42 AM
21	I liked your approach to enable many young researchers to attend the conference. That was a great move.	9/10/2014 8:37 AM
22	Very nice balance between academics, industry people, and students. Congrats!	9/9/2014 10:43 PM

### Q10 What communication channels were most important to give you information about the conference (including your decision to participate)

Answered: 129 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
mail	75.97%	98
webpage	61.24%	79
twitter	9.30%	12
linkedin	1.55%	2
facebook	6.98%	9
web page	31.78%	41
direct contact by colleague	23.26%	30
<b>Total Respondents: 129</b>		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	email	9/12/2014 5:06 PM
2	External webpages (WikiCFP)	9/11/2014 4:22 PM
3	mail = email	9/11/2014 1:58 AM
4	I must be old. We used to differentiate snail-mail and e-mail :)	9/10/2014 6:52 PM
5	Contact with mainly Tony - thank you!	9/10/2014 8:50 AM
6	I'm not sure whether 'webpage' or 'web page' was most important... :D	9/10/2014 8:24 AM



## Q11 Other comments you would like to share?

Answered: 28 Skipped: 104

#	Responses	Date
1	Workshops should focus more on how researches can be applied in industry. Should be more time for discussions between researchers and industry professional. The workshops were too fully packed with presentations and left very little time for discussions (for the industry).	9/26/2014 9:06 AM
2	It was great	9/22/2014 4:37 PM
3	I enjoyed the conference and would like to attend again.	9/11/2014 6:20 PM
4	IMHO, social media was a bit overdone in this year's edition of RE (e.g., all the twitter hashtags). This is of course a matter of taste but things could be simpler perhaps achieving the same effect or better.	9/11/2014 9:18 AM
5	excellent conference organisation,	9/11/2014 6:40 AM
6	One of the best RE conferences ever! John Mylopoulos General chair, RE'11 Programme chair, RE'97	9/11/2014 12:45 AM
7	Thanks for putting this together. I know it's a huge amount of work, but you've pulled it off so well!	9/10/2014 11:44 PM
8	Great job on the part of the organizers. The combination of location and timeframe was inconvenient for me, even though the local arrangements were great.	9/10/2014 10:07 PM
9	Well done!!	9/10/2014 9:26 PM
10	Great band at the party. I like the mix of research and industry. I like panels, speed dating, Ready-Set-Transfer, etc. that break up the conference so that it isn't just paper, paper, paper.	9/10/2014 6:52 PM
11	Due to IEEE relationship I guess, most of the tracks were Software-oriented. I think a better balance can be found between Systems and Software Requirements Engineering, since, for Requirements Engineering, the two domains are very similar.	9/10/2014 5:05 PM
12	In our first day in Sweden, Tony said: "Devil lives in the details.", while he was showing us the chairs at Multiroom should be aligned in a straight line. And through all the other days, we all could notice that Swedish really pay attention to details. Since keeping each used mug in the right place by your own hands, to the sweet surprise of the curtains opening and the ice cream truck playing outside! So, I must say thank you and congratulations for your work! I am proud by having been part of the team!	9/10/2014 2:13 PM
13	Registration was extremely overpriced.	9/10/2014 1:53 PM
14	A little bit "unprofessional" in terms of e.g. location (university instead of conference center) and communication (many emails with various quality before and during the conference).	9/10/2014 1:33 PM
15	Good organisation and effort, especially encouraging industry, not sure the 2 communities actually came together	9/10/2014 12:10 PM
16	I would suggest for the next editions of RE to try not only to increase the number of participants but also to increase the quality of the papers.	9/10/2014 10:47 AM
17	I was in the student accommodations. I appreciate that it was free, but the conditions were pretty bad (co-ed bathrooms shared with lots of people, no storage space, bad mattress, etc.). As I understand, no one actually checked them out beforehand to know what we were getting. It would have been better to have some more clear information about the conditions in order to help us judge whether to stay. If I had know, I wouldn't have stayed.	9/10/2014 10:31 AM
18	Sauna was nice!	9/10/2014 9:42 AM
19	I would like to follow a group in linkedin but didn't find one	9/10/2014 9:31 AM
20	Thank you, nice event, good memories	9/10/2014 9:14 AM
21	Great conference for BTH to host and excellently hosted.	9/10/2014 9:09 AM
22	We need to seriously re-think the way we do research in this century.	9/10/2014 8:56 AM

RE14 Feedback Survey (the survey looks large but it is not, please take 5min and fill in the 10 questions).

23	It was in all mostly focused on analysing requirements. Not how to find them. They just magically existed. As an requirement managar/analyst this is the key to good requirement handling. How to be able to find the real needs of users and customers. And nearly all researchers should attend a course of how to use powerpoint/do presentations. And also some from industry.Their message dissapeared in poor presentations. I did not attend workshops/tutorials so I assume they were more interactive. One person from BTH who stood near where we got our badges and managed the bankett attendees was wonderful (I do not recall her name). Oranization was great - thank you for that, including special meals etc.	9/10/2014 8:50 AM
24	I hope this encounter will be a good oppportunity to present a proposal for a symposium showcaing the Bail Engineering Trust Vision	9/10/2014 8:42 AM
25	Before the conference, it was confusing who would be sending which information.	9/10/2014 8:37 AM
26	nice! thanks!	9/10/2014 8:26 AM
27	Thank you very much for the huge amount of effort deployed in organizing this event. Much appreciated.	9/9/2014 10:43 PM
28	Signage and power outlet access were superb.	9/9/2014 8:25 PM